

# 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants

| Function                                    | Pharmacogenomics  | Version          | 1.0   |  |
|---|---|------------------|---|--|
| Document Author<br>and Job Title            | Shirley Henderson, Lead for Cancer<br>Molecular Diagnostics at Genomics England<br>Sandra Hing, Senior Laboratory Advisor at<br>NHS England |                  |   |  |
| Document<br>Reviewer(s) and Job<br>Title(s) | Dr Nirupa Murugaesu, Clinical Oncologist<br>and Cancer Lead   | Review Date      | 11.06.2020  |  |
|   | Dr Ellen McDonagh, Head of Curation and   | Status           | Draft 🗌   |  |
|   | Pharmacogenomics, Genomics England  |                  | Live 🛛  |  |
|   | Dr. Along Sociasky, Hogd of Canoor Anglysis   | Effective        | Archive   |  |
|   | Dr Alona Sosinsky, Head of Cancer Analysis,<br>Genomics England   | Date             | 11.06.2019  |  |
| Document<br>Approver(s) and Job             | Dr Sandi Deans  |                  | Laboratory & Scientific Lead,<br>5 Unit, NHS England<br>hief Scientist, Director of Public<br>ad Caldicott Guardian,<br>5 England |  |
| Title(s)                                    | Dr Tom Fowler   |                  |   |  |
| Electronic Signature                        | <i>Sandi Deans</i><br>Sandi Deans (Jun 10, 2019)  | Date<br>Approved | Jun 10, 2019  |  |
|   | TOM FOWLEY<br>Tom Fowler (Jun 10, 2019)   | Jun 10, 2019     |   |  |
|   |   |                  |   |  |
| Transaction Number                          |   |                  |   |  |
| Adobe Sign Transaction Number: CBJ          | CHBCAABAAqeTjk6cvlQTToPxT679VB20esXj3X0-4   |                  |   |  |

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 1 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



## Table of Contents

| 1.  | Document Version History                      | 3 |
|-----|---|---|
| 2.  | Introduction                                  | 3 |
| 3.  | DPYD Variants                                 | 3 |
| 4.  | Technical Validation                          | 5 |
| 5.  | Interpretation                                | 5 |
| 6.  | Clinical Reporting                            | 5 |
| 7.  | Roles and Responsibilities for the DPYD Pilot | 6 |
| 8.  | Abbreviations/Definitions                     | 6 |
| 9.  | References and Supporting Documents           | 6 |
| 10. | Appendices                                    | 7 |
| /   | Appendix 1                                    | 7 |
| /   | Appendix 2                                    | 8 |
|     |   |   |

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 2 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



## 1. Document Version History

| Version | Summary of main changes and reasons   |
|---------|---|
| V1      | NHS provided finalised version of DPYD Validation and Reporting Guidance document to Genomics<br>England for sign off |

Note: The document version history detailed above is effective for the last live version of this document only. Details of changes for prior versions are available in EQMS.

## 2. Introduction

Germline genetic variants in the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (*DPYD*) gene can confer an increased risk of severe and even fatal toxicity, when patients with one or more copies of these variants are treated with the fluoropyrimidines, capecitabine or 5-fluorouracil. The gene encodes an enzyme which plays a role in the rate-limiting catabolism step of 5fluoropyrimidine metabolism and specific sequence variants are known to impact on the activity of the enzyme. If treating clinicians are aware that a patient is heterozygous or homozygous for one of these variants, in many cases they will be able to assess the need to adjust therapy regimens to reduce the risk of toxicity.

From Spring 2019, the whole genome sequence (WGS) data will be analysed for the presence of four *DPYD* gene variants (table 1) prospectively on all cancer participants in the 100,000 Genomes Project as a pilot exercise. This pilot will help to determine the clinical effectiveness of analysing these variants within the NHS.

This document provides technical guidance to NHS Genomic Medicine Centres (GMCs) on the validation and clinical reporting of returned toxicity-related *DPYD* gene variants. This technical guidance should always be used in conjunction with the 100,000 Genomes Project Cancer Whole Genome Sequencing Validation and Reporting guidance

### 3. DPYD Variants

The *DPYD* variants being analysed and reported as part of the 100,000 Genomes Project have been selected because they have strong evidence for no function or decreased function. These variants form the basis of genotype-guided therapeutic guidelines for capecitabine and 5-fluorouracil prescribing, published by the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC)<sup>1</sup> and members of the Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group in the Netherlands. Other *DPYD* variants are not currently being analysed and reported because there is insufficient evidence at this time for implication in fluoropyrimidine-induced toxicity.

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 3 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



NHS GMCs will be notified of the presence of the variants listed in table 1 prospectively for all cancer participants regardless of their cancer type. It is recognised that fluoropyrimidines, capecitabine or 5-fluorouracil, are not used as therapy for all cancer types and consequently *DPYD* variant information may not be relevant to all cancer participant's current condition. However, results are being reported on all participants' as they may be beneficial to a small subset if the participant develops other cancers where *DPYD* status is relevant.

*DPYD* variant results will be returned to the NHS GMCs from Genomics England in part 3 (analysis of germline variants) of the "Cancer Whole Genome Sequence Analysis" document (figure 1). Further information regarding the return of germline findings can be found in section 4 of the 100,000 Genomes Project Cancer Whole Genome Sequencing Validation and Reporting guidance document.

| Nucleotide<br>change <sup>i</sup>          | Protein<br>change <sup>ii</sup>    | rsID                     | Haplotype<br>name                 | Genomic<br>coordinates<br>(GRCh38) | Predicted<br>Functional<br>Status |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| c.1905+1G>A                                | N/A                                | rs3918290                | *2A                               | 1:97450058C>T                      | No function                       |
| c.1679T>G                                  | p.(lle560Ser)                      | rs55886062               | *13                               | 1:97515787A>C                      | No function                       |
| c.2846A>T                                  | p.(Asp949Val)                      | rs67376798               |                                   | 1:97082391T>A                      | Decreased<br>function             |
| c.1129-5923C>G<br>c.1236G>A <sup>iii</sup> | N/A <sup>iv</sup><br>p.(Glu412Glu) | rs75017182<br>rs56038477 | Part of the<br>HapB3<br>haplotype | 1:97579893G>C<br>1:97573863C>T     | Decreased function                |

#### Table 1: DPYD gene variants analysed 2,3

# Figure 1: Example of how DPYD results will be presented in part 3 of the Cancer WGS analysis

#### Germline analysis: Pharmacogenomics

Polymorphic variants in the DPYD gene are associated with severe, sometimes fatal, toxicity to fluoropyrimidine therapy. Analysis was undertaken for 4 established major variants/haplotypes in DPYD (see <u>Technical Information v1.11.main</u>). The following toxicity-related DPYD variant(s) were detected.

| Gene | GRCh38<br>coordinates<br>ref/alt allele | Transcript      | CDS change and protein change | Predicted<br>consequences | Population germline allele<br>frequency (1KG  <br>gnomAD) | Genomics England<br>germline allele<br>frequency | Alt allele/total read depth | PharmGKB_ID | Genotype |
|------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|
| DPYD | 1:97450058C>T                           | ENST00000370192 | c.1905+1G>A                   | splice_donor_variant      | 0.0063  | 0.0041   | 17/40                       | PA166153760 | 0/1      |

‡ Variants c.1129-5923C>G (rs75017182) and c.1236G>A (rs56038477) are part of a haplotype and should not be considered two independent variants for interpretation.

Additional rarer DPYD variants are associated with toxicity on fluoropyrimidine therapy. Additional DPYD haplotypes are associated with toxicity on fluoropyrimidine therapy that is less severe. Other genetic, clinical and environmental factors may affect a patient's response to fluoropyrimidines and their risk for adverse drug reactions. Chromosomal phasing has not been performed. Interpretation recommendations are based on the assumption that when two variants relating to reduced function are detected, they are in trans (on different chromosomes).

This is a research result. We are returning this to help clinical teams reduce risk of harm from medications. If the result is intended for use in informing clinical management it should be confirmed using a test accredited for clinical use. It remains the responsibility of the health-care provider to determine the best course of treatment for a patient.

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 4 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



## 4. Technical Validation

Technical validation of the presence of the *DPYD* variant(s) will be undertaken in a laboratory with ISO 15189;2012 accreditation for the appropriate test<sup>4</sup>. Where the NHS GMC laboratory is unable to deliver the required test, the laboratory should outsource the validation testing to an appropriately accredited laboratory. A list of laboratories currently offering fully validated and accredited *DPYD* testing can be found in <u>appendix 1</u>.

All participant results in which toxicity related *DPYD* variants have been identified will be validated regardless of whether a patient is on an active management pathway. Validation of *DPYD* variants is not required for deceased participants. Participant results with no toxicity related *DPYD* variants identified do not require validation to confirm absence of these variants. However, this does not exclude the possibility that the participant is at increased risk for fluoropyrimidine-related toxicity.

The DPYD c.1129-5923C>G (rs75017182) and c.1236G>A (rs56038477) variants are considered part of the same haplotype and should not be interpreted as two separate variants. As the intronic c.1129-5923C>G variant (rs75017182) is the likely causative variant, this should be validated. If validation of this variant is not available, c.1236G>A (rs56038477) can act as a proxy/tag SNP for validation of the causative variant. If only the c.1236G>A (rs56038477) is reported, the presence of the c.1129-5923C>G variant (rs75017182) should be checked in the sequencing read file or by another method.

## 5. Interpretation

Patients identified as having one or more copies of the toxicity related *DPYD* variants listed in table 1 should be considered for dose modification of fluoropyrimidines or alternative drug. The degree of dose reduction required will depend on the overall reduction in the enzyme dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) produced by the *DPYD* genotype. This will be influenced by the specific variants present and whether they are heterozygous, homozygous or compound heterozygous. Clinicians should review the literature for current information on the interpretation of *DPYD* genotypes and predicting the effects on DPD production.

## 6. Clinical Reporting

Once results have been validated a clinical report which is compliant with ISO15189:2012 standard should be produced and issued. Reports should include details of the *DPYD* genotype, the predicted effect on DPD production and recommendations regarding the adjustment of 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine dosing to be considered by treating oncologists. Information regarding the *DPYD* genotype interpretation and dosing must be compliant with current literature findings. Example clinical reports and suggested phrases for *DPYD* reporting are provided in appendix 2.

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 5 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



Clinical reports should be sent to the most appropriate individuals as identified by the GTAB and must be stored in the participants' Electronic Patient Records (EPR) (or paper clinical patient records if there is no EPR). This will ensure other healthcare professionals e.g. pharmacists, have access to these results if appropriate.

## 7. Roles and Responsibilities for the DPYD Pilot

Genomics England is responsible for returning *DPYD* variant results relating to 100,000 Genome Project participants to NHS GMCs.

NHS England is responsible for producing guidance and ensuring a standardised approach to the validation and reporting of *DPYD* variants across all NHS GMCs. As this is a pilot exercise to determine the clinical utility of *DPYD* testing of cancer patients then NHS England is responsible for reviewing the outcome data and generating a pilot outcome summary report.

NHS GMCs are responsible for the validation and reporting of toxicity related *DPYD* variants and notification to NHS England of outcomes upon request as follows (but not limited to):

- Were the results reported?
- Were the results acted upon?
- Did the results change clinical management?
- Where are the results stored?

| DPD  | dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase                     |
|------|---|
| DPYD | Gene encoding dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase       |
| WGS  | Whole Genome Sequencing                             |
| GMC  | Genomic Medicine Centre                             |
| UKAS | United Kingdom Accreditation Service                |
| GTAB | Genomic Tumour Advisory Board                       |
| CPIC | Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium |

### 8. Abbreviations/Definitions

### 9. References and Supporting Documents

<sup>1</sup> Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for *DPYD* Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Genotype and Fluoropyrimidine Dosing: 2017

<sup>2</sup> Clinicians should review the literature for current information on the interpretation of *DPYD* genotypes and predicting the effects on DPD production.

<sup>3</sup> <u>Table 1 legend</u>

Nucleotide changes according to reference sequence NM\_000110.3 (maps to ENST00000370192).

<sup>ii</sup> Protein changes according to reference sequence NP\_000101.2.

<sup>iii</sup>The c.1129-5923C>G variant (rs75017182) is the likely causative variant underlying the HapB3 haplotype; this variant is in intron 10 and introduces a cryptic splice site and the partial production of

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 6 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



a nonfunctional transcript<sup>1</sup>. c.1236G>A (rs56038477) is a synonymous variant in LD with the likely causative variant in Europeans, and thus can act as a proxy/tag Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) – for example, the *DPYD* prospective testing in the Netherlands screened for the exonic c.1236G>A variant rather than c.1129-5923C>G. The genome analysis includes both of these variants, both are likely to be identified (*in cis*) and should be considered as one haplotype variant in the interpretation. <sup>iv</sup>Not applicable

<sup>4</sup>As set out in section 3 of the 100,000 Genomes Project Cancer Whole Genome Sequencing Validation and Reporting guidance document

PharmGKB summary: fluoropyrimidine pathways. Thorn CF, Marsh S, Carrillo MW, McLeod HL, Klein TE, Altman RB. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2011 Apr;21(4):237-42.

CPIC <a href="https://cpicpgx.org/">https://cpicpgx.org/</a> (accessed 13 February 2019).

Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Genotype and Fluoropyrimidine Dosing: 2017 Update. Amstutz U, Henricks LM, Offer SM, Barbarino J, Schellens JHM, Swen JJ, Klein TE, McLeod HL, Caudle KE, Diasio RB, Schwab M. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2018 Feb;103(2):210-216. For an interactive version of the guidelines table for fluorouracil therapeutic guidelines see <a href="https://www.pharmgkb.org/guideline/PA166122686">https://www.pharmgkb.org/guideline/PA166122686</a>, and for capecitabine see <a href="https://www.pharmgkb.org/guideline/PA166109594">https://www.pharmgkb.org/guideline/PA166122686</a>, and for

PharmGKB, DYPD reference materials <u>https://www.pharmgkb.org/page/dpydRefMaterials</u> (accessed 6 August 2018).

DPYD genotype-guided dose individualisation of fluoropyrimidine therapy in patients with cancer: a prospective safety analysis. Henricks LM et al. Lancet Oncol. 2018 Oct 18 pii: S1470-2045(18)30686-7. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30686-7.

## 10. Appendices

#### Appendix 1

Table 2: Information regarding laboratories offering ISO15189:2012 accredited services

| Laboratory Name                          | Contact Details  |
|--|--|
| St Thomas Purine Laboratory              | http://www.viapath.co.uk/departments-and-  |
|  | laboratories/purine-research-laboratory-at-st-thomas                                 |
| South West Genomic<br>Laboratory Hub     | https://www.exeterlaboratory.com/genetics/prediction-<br>of-5-fluorouracil-toxicity/ |
| Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics<br>Service | https://www.sheffieldchildrens.nhs.uk/sdgs/  |

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 7 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



#### **Appendix 2**

Example heterozygous and homozygous DPYD genomic laboratory reports and suggested phrases for DPYD genotypes

Example Report 1 (This example is of a dummy patient)

## **GENOMIC LABORATORY REPORT**

Report to:

Consultant Oncologist A Hospital Somewhere in England Patient Name: Jean HELIX

Date of Birth: Sex: NHS No.: Hospital number: 02/11/1984 Female

012 345 6789

#### **Reason for testing**

Predictive: To determine whether Jean has dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) deficiency that increases her risk of developing severe 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine associated toxicity.

#### **Result summary** Increased risk of 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine toxicity

#### Result

Jean is homozygous for the DPYD variant c.1905+1G>A.

#### Implications when treated with 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine

The intracellular concentration of active metabolites *may be* increased due to complete DPD deficiency, resulting in an increased risk for fatal drug toxicity (grade  $\geq$ 3).

#### Dosing recommendations for 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine

• Do not use 5-fluorouracil or 5-fluorouracil prodrug-based regimens.

Date issued: 18/03/2019

#### **TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

#### Variant details

| Gene | Zygosity   | HGVS description        | Location: GRCh38 | Predicted DPD enzyme activity |
|------|------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| DPYD | Homozygous | NM_000110.3:c.1905+1G>A | Chr1:g.97450058  | 0%                            |

#### Test methodology

Sanger sequence analysis of four DPYD variants (c.1905+1G>A, c.1679T>G, c.2846A>T and c.1129-5923C>G) that are associated with partial DPD deficiency and severe toxicity to 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine therapy.

#### Patient phenotype

Colorectal cancer

#### Sample details

Laboratory No: Sample type:

150001 DNA from peripheral blood Sample collected: Sample received:

10/02/2019 11/02/2019

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 8 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



Example Report 2 (This example is of a dummy patient)

## **GENOMIC LABORATORY REPORT**

Report to:

Consultant Oncologist A Hospital Somewhere in England

#### Patient Name: Jean HELIX

Date of Birth: Sex: NHS No.: Hospital number: 02/11/1984 Female 012 345 6789

#### **Reason for testing**

Predictive: To determine whether Jean has dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) deficiency that increases her risk of developing severe 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine associated toxicity.

#### **Result summary**

#### Increased risk of 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine toxicity

#### Result

Jean is heterozygous for the DPYD variant c.2846A>T p.(Asp949Val).

#### Implications when treated with 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine

The intracellular concentration of active metabolites *may be* increased, resulting in an increased risk for severe or even fatal drug toxicity (grade  $\geq$ 3).

#### Dosing recommendations for 5-fluorouracil or capecitabine

- Reduce the starting dose to 50% of the standard dose.
- Follow this by titration of dose based on toxicity.
- In patients experiencing no or clinically tolerable toxicity in the first two cycles: increase the dose to maintain efficacy; In patients who do not tolerate the starting dose: decrease the dose to minimise toxicities.

Date issued: 18/03/2019

#### **TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

#### Variant details

| Gene | Zygosity     | HGVS description                    | Location: GRCh38 | Predicted DPD enzyme activity |
|------|--------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| DPYD | Heterozygous | NM_000110.3:c.2846A>T p.(Asp949Val) | Chr1:g.97082391  | 75%                           |

#### Test methodology

Sanger sequence analysis of four DPYD variants (c.1905+1G>A, c.1679T>G, c.2846A>T and c.1129-5923C>G) that are associated with partial DPD deficiency and severe toxicity to 5-fluorouracil or capecita bine therapy.

#### Patient phenotype

Colorectal cancer

#### Sample details

Laboratory No: Sample type: 150001 DNA from peripheral blood Sample collected: Sample received: 10/02/2019 11/02/2019

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 9 of 10 |
|--|--------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |              |



Table 3: Suggested phrases for DPYD reporting

| Suggested Phrases for DPYD genotypes |   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Heterozygous Genotypes               | The patient is heterozygous for the <i>DPYD</i> variant<br>[ <i>insert variant</i> ]. A heterozygous genotype is<br>associated with partial DPD deficiency and<br>severe toxicity to fluoropyrimidine therapy. A<br>[ <i>insert %</i> ] dose reduction or alternate therapy<br>should be considered.<br>The patient was genotyped for the <i>DPYD</i> variants<br>c.1905+1G>A, c.2846A>T p.(Asp949Val),   |
| Homozygote Genotypes                 | c.1679T>G p.(Ile560Ser) and c.1129-5923C>G<br>The patient is homozygous for the variant <i>DPYD</i><br><i>[insert variant]</i> . A homozygous genotype is<br>associated with partial DPD deficiency and early,<br>severe toxicity to fluoropyrimidine therapy. The<br>effect of these alleles is additive, the X variant is<br>associated with a <i>[insert %]</i> dose reduction. As<br>two alleles are present in a homozygous<br>genotype, consider a <i>[insert %]</i> dose reduction or<br>alternate therapy.<br>The patient was genotyped for the <i>DPYD</i> variants<br>c.1905+1G>A, c.2846A>T p.(Asp949Val),<br>c.1679T>G p.(Ile560Ser) and c.1129-5923C>G |
| Compound heterozygous genotypes      | The patient is compound heterozygous for the variants <i>DPYD</i> [insert variant]. and [insert variant]. This compound heterozygous genotype is associated with partial DPD deficiency and early, severe toxicity to fluoropyrimidine therapy. Consider a [insert %] dose reduction or alternate therapy.<br>The patient was genotyped for the <i>DPYD</i> variants c.1905+1G>A, c.2846A>T p.(Asp949Val), c.1679T>G p.(Ile560Ser) and c.1129-5923C>G   |

| 100,000 Genomes Project: Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD Variants | Page 10 of 10 |
|--|---------------|
| UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED  |               |

# 100,000 Genomes Project Validation and Reporting Guidance for DPYD variants v1.0

Final Audit Report

2019-06-10

|     | Created:        | 2019-06-10                                   |
|-----|-----------------|--|
|     | By:             | maria.athanasopoulou@genomicsengland.co.uk   |
|     | Status:         | Signed                                       |
|     | Transaction ID: | CBJCHBCAABAAqeTjk6cvlQTToPxT679VB20esXj3X0-4 |
| - 1 |                 |  |

## "100,000 Genomes Project Validation and Reporting Guidance f or DPYD variants v1.0" History

- Document created by maria.athanasopoulou@genomicsengland.co.uk 2019-06-10 - 1:45:51 PM GMT- IP address: 81.134.251.212
- Document emailed to Tom Fowler (tom.fowler@genomicsengland.co.uk) for signature 2019-06-10 - 1:48:57 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Tom Fowler (tom.fowler@genomicsengland.co.uk) 2019-06-10 - 1:51:38 PM GMT- IP address: 161.23.239.199
- Document e-signed by Tom Fowler (tom.fowler@genomicsengland.co.uk) Signature Date: 2019-06-10 - 1:51:55 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 161.23.239.199
- Document emailed to Sandi Deans (sandi.deans@ed.ac.uk) for signature 2019-06-10 - 1:51:57 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Sandi Deans (sandi.deans@ed.ac.uk) 2019-06-10 - 3:33:07 PM GMT- IP address: 213.205.242.76
- Document e-signed by Sandi Deans (sandi.deans@ed.ac.uk) Signature Date: 2019-06-10 - 3:34:23 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 213.205.242.76
- Signed document emailed to christella.matoko@genomicsengland.co.uk, sugandhi.anand@genomicsengland.co.uk, Sandi Deans (sandi.deans@ed.ac.uk), Tom Fowler (tom.fowler@genomicsengland.co.uk), and 1 more 2019-06-10 - 3:34:23 PM GMT